Substitution of Eq. (15) into Eq. (12) provides the desired boundary condition.

As a special case, consider the unidirectional, surface-catalyzed reaction

$$n\mathfrak{M}_1 \xrightarrow{k_f} \mathfrak{M}_2$$
 (16)

so that K' = 1, N' = 2; the general relation yields

$$b_1 = \frac{k_f n(\gamma_0 \kappa, c_s)^n}{(1 + \kappa_1 c_1 + \kappa_2 c_2)^n}$$
(17)

so that for highly dilute reactants and products the frequently employed relation  $b_1 \sim c_1^n$  is recovered. However, if  $\varkappa_1c_1$  is large compared with  $\varkappa_2c_2$  and with unity, then Eq. (17) indicates that  $b_1$  is independent of  $c_1$ , i.e., the reaction is of zero order. Especially interesting is the limit  $\varkappa_2c_2 \gg 1$ ,  $\varkappa_2c_2 \gg \varkappa_1c_1$ , which is more likely to occur downstream, where the reaction has proceeded in the forward direction to a significant extent; in this case  $b_i \sim (c_1/c_2)^n$ , thus exhibiting retardation of the reaction rate by the reaction product. Clearly, the effective order of the reaction may change with the streamwise coordinate in a given boundary layer.

It is also interesting to note that for a more complicated unidirectional reaction of the form

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2 \xrightarrow{k_f} \mathfrak{M}_3 + \mathfrak{M}_4 \tag{18}$$

the general relation for the flux of species 1, e.g., yields

$$b_1 = \frac{\gamma_0^2 k_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 c_1 c_2}{(1 + \kappa_1 c_1 + \kappa_2 c_2 + \kappa_3 c_3 + \kappa_4 c_4)^2}$$
(19)

Clearly, depending on the magnitudes of each product  $\kappa_1c_1$  compared to unity, a range of reaction orders from plus to minus unity can occur.

In conclusion, it is noted that the foregoing considerations apply to turbulent as well as to laminar boundary layers.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Chung, P. M., Liu, S. W., and Mirels, H. "Effect of discontinuity of surface catalycity on boundary layer flow of dissociated gas," Intern. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 6, 193–210 (1963).
- <sup>2</sup> Chung, P. M. and Anderson, A. D., "Heat transfer around blunt bodies with surfaces of finite catalytic efficiency in frozen dissociated hypersonic flow," Advances in the Astronautical Sciences (Plenum Press, New York, 1962), Vol. 8.
- <sup>3</sup> Rae, W. J., "A solution for the nonequilibrium flat plate boundary layer," AIAA J. 1, 2279-2288 (1963).
- <sup>4</sup> Fox, H., "Laminar boundary layers with chemical reactions," Air Force Office of Scientific Research AFOSR 64–1394, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Aerodynamics Lab. PIBAL Rept. 835 (June 1964).
- <sup>5</sup> Janowitz, G. S. and Libby, P. A., "The effect of variable transport properties on a dissociated boundary layer with surface reaction," Intern. J. Heat Mass Transfer 8, 7–18 (1965); also Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Aerodynamics Lab. PIBAL Rept. 804 (October 1963).
- <sup>6</sup> Chambre, P. L. and Acrivos, A., "On chemical surface reactions in laminar boundary layer flows," J. Appl. Phys. 27, 1322–1328 (1956).
- <sup>7</sup> Rosner, D. E., "Convective diffusion as an intruder in kinetic studies of surface catalyzed reactions," AIAA J. 2, 593-610 (1964).
- <sup>8</sup> Williams, F. A., *Combustion Theory* (Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1965).
- <sup>9</sup> Hougen, O. A. and Watson, K. M., "Solid catalysts and reaction rates," Ind. Eng. Chem. **35**, 529–541 (1943).
- <sup>10</sup> Hayward, D. O. and Trapnell, B. M. W., *Chemisorption* (Butterworths, London, 1964).
- <sup>11</sup> Rosner, D. E., "Effects of convective diffusion on the apparent kinetics of zeroth order surface-catalyzed chemical reactions," Chem. Eng. Sci. (to be published).

# Generalized Matrix Force and Displacement Methods of Linear Structural Analysis

Alan L. Yettram\* and Husain M. Husain†

University of Leeds, Leeds, England

## Nomenclature

#### Force method

P = column matrix t of all generalized forces

 $P_0, P_1$  = column matrices of known and unknown generalized forces, respectively

 $b_g$ ,  $b_{0g}$ ,  $b_{1g}$  = rectangular matrices of stress resultants (internal forces) in element g due to unit values of components of P,  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , respectively

 $b, b_0, b_1$  = rectangular matrices of stress resultants in all elements due to unit values of components of  $P, P_0, P_1$ , respectively

 $S_g$  = column matrix of stress resultants in element g due to P

 $S, S_0, S_1$  = column matrices of stress resultants in all elements due to  $P, P_0, P_1$ , respectively

 $w_g$  = column matrix of strain resultants (over-all internal deformations) of element g, corresponding to

 $w, w_0, w_1 = \text{column matrices of strain resultants of all elements,}$ corresponding to  $S, S_0, S_1$ 

 $p, p_0, p_1$  = column matrices of all displacements in the directions of forces  $P, P_1, P_0$ , respectively

 $f_a$  = square matrix describing flexibility of element g = square matrix of flexibilities of all elements (unassembled)

## Displacement method

= column matrix of all displacements

 $r_0$ ,  $r_1$  = column matrices of known and unknown displacements, respectively

 $a_g$ ,  $a_{0g}$ ,  $a_{1g}$  = rectangular matrices of strain resultants (displacements of extremities) of element g due to unit values of components of r,  $r_0$ ,  $r_1$ , respectively

 $a, a_0, a_1$  = rectangular matrices of the strain resultants of all elements due to unit values of components of  $r, r_0, r_1$ , respectively

 $v_g$  = column matrix of strain resultants of element g due to r = column matrices of strain resultants of all elements

due to r,  $r_0$ ,  $r_1$ , respectively  $T_q = \text{column matrix of stress resultants (forces at ex-$ 

tremities) in element g, corresponding to  $v_g$  T,  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$  = column matrices of stress resultants in all elements, corresponding to v,  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$ 

 $R, R_0, R_1$  = column matrices of all forces in the directions of displacements  $r, r_1, r_0$ , respectively

 $k_g$  = square matrix describing stiffness of element g k = square matrix of stiffnesses of all elements (unassembled)

## Introduction

THAT there are two related possible approaches to the analysis of structures has long been realized. Ostenfeld,¹ however, first fully outlined the principles that underlie the duality between the force (or flexibility) method and the displacement (or stiffness) method. Argyris² expressed the relationship between the two methods in matrix notation and put forward procedures for the solution of structures under either applied forces or imposed displacements.

Received January 6, 1965.

\* Lecturer in Aircraft Structures, Department of Civil Engineering.

† Research Assistant, Department of Civil Engineering.

‡ Column and diagonal matrices, when shown in expanded form, are denoted, respectively, by { } and \( \square\) \_\_\_!.

The generalized matrix equations of the force and displacement methods for a structure under any combination of applied forces and imposed displacements are given now. Their derivation is based upon topological and geometrical reasoning. Such an approach provides an appreciation of the contribution of each element to the complete structure.

## Force Method

## Displacement Method

#### Basic theory

In the force method, a system of self-equilibrating forces is considered as a single generalized force, and the associated displacements are the relative deformations within the system. The behavior of a structure is then fully defined when all of the generalized forces are known. The initial conditions provide knowledge of either each generalized force or its corresponding displacement.

The forces  $\vec{P}$  on the entire structure produce stress resultants S in all of the elements. Then, for the element g,

$$S_g = b_g P \tag{1}$$

where  $b_g$  is obtained by considering one generalized force at a time. The matrix  $b_g$ , expressing equilibrium, thus describes a topological and geometrical relationship, i.e., the configuration of the structure. The strain resultants  $w_g$  are given by

$$w_{g} = f_{g}S_{g} \tag{2}$$

Assuming that only element g has flexibility and that all of the others have none (they are infinitely rigid), it will be the only element under strain resultants. The contribution of  $w_g$  to all of the displacements of the structure is defined as  $p_g$ . Then, by the same topological reasoning used in the derivation of Eq. (1),

$$p_g = b_g' w_g \tag{3}$$

This equation is an expression of the principle of virtual forces. By considering one-by-one the flexibilities of all of the elements and their contributions to the displacements of the whole structure, the resulting displacements  $\Sigma p_g$  then become equal to the displacements p; thus

$$p = \sum p_g \tag{4}$$

Then, by substitution,

$$p = \sum b_g' w_g = \sum (b_g' f_g S_g) = [\sum (b_g' f_g b_g)] P$$
 (5)

Defining

$$D = \Sigma b_g' f_g b_g \tag{6}$$

then

$$p = DP \tag{7}$$

where D is the flexibility matrix of the structure and relates all of the generalized forces considered to the displacements.

Equation (7) therefore provides a complete solution for the structure acted upon by forces and/or deformations.

In the displacement method, a system of compatible displacements is considered as a single generalized displacement, and the associated forces are those within the system. The behavior of a structure is then fully defined when all of the generalized displacements are known. The initial conditions provide knowledge of either each generalized displacement or its corresponding force.

The displacements r of the entire structure produce strain resultants v in all of the elements. Then, for the element g,

$$v_q = a_q r \tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha_g$  is obtained by considering one displacement at a time. The matrix  $\alpha_g$ , expressing compatibility, thus describes a topological and geometrical relationship, i.e., the configuration of the structure. The stress resultants  $T_g$  are given by

$$T_g = k_g v_g \tag{2}$$

Assuming that only the element g has stiffness and that all of the others have none (they effectively do not exist), it will be the only element carrying forces. The contribution of  $T_g$  to all of the forces on the structure is defined as  $R_g$ . Then, by the same topological reasoning used in the derivation of Eq. (1),

$$R_g = a_g' T_g \tag{3}$$

This equation is an expression of the principle of virtual displacements.

By considering one-by-one the stiffnesses of all of the elements and their contributions to the forces on the whole structure, the resulting forces  $\Sigma R_g$  then equilibrate the forces R; thus

$$R = \Sigma R_g \tag{4}$$

Then, by substitution,

$$R = \Sigma a_g' T_g = \Sigma (a_g' k_g v_g) = [\Sigma (a_g' k_g a_g)] r$$
 (5)

Defining

$$C = \sum a_g' k_g a_g \tag{6}$$

then

$$R = Cr (7)$$

where C is the stiffness matrix of the structure and relates all of the displacements considered to the forces. Equation (7) therefore provides a complete solution for the structure acted upon by deformations and/or forces.

## Method of solution

(10)

Partitioning the matrices of Eq. (7) gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{00} & D_{01} \\ D_{10} & D_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0 \\ P_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $P_1 = D_{11}^{-1}(p_0 - D_{10}P_0)$ 

whence, with  $D_{01}$  equal to  $D_{10}'$ ,

$$p_1 = D_{00}P_0 + D_{01}P_1 \tag{8}$$

$$p_0 = D_{10}P_0 + D_{11}P_1 \tag{9}$$

Equation (9) gives the unknown forces

$$egin{bmatrix} R_1 \ R_0 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} C_{00} & C_{01} \ C_{10} & C_{11} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} r_0 \ r_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 whence, with  $C_{01}$  equal to  $C_{10}'$ ,

$$R_1 = C_{00}r_0 + C_{01}r_1 \tag{8}$$

$$R_0 = C_{10}r_0 + C_{11}r_1 \tag{9}$$

Equation (9) gives the unknown displacements

Partitioning the matrices of Eq. (7) gives

$$r_1 = C_{11}^{-1}(R_0 - C_{10}r_0) (10)$$

By substitution into Eq. (8), the unknown displacements are

$$p_1 = D_{00}P_0 + D_{01}D_{11}^{-1}(p_0 - D_{10}P_0)$$
 (11)

Noting that

$$b = \{b_1b_2 \dots b_g \dots\}$$

$$S = \{S_1S_2 \dots S_g \dots\}$$

$$w = \{w_1w_2 \dots w_g \dots\}$$

$$f = \boxed{f_1f_2 \dots f_g \dots}$$

then, from Eq. (1), considering all of the elements,

$$S = bP = b_0 P_0 + b_1 D_{11}^{-1} (p_0 - D_{10} P_0)$$
 (12)

and, similarly,

$$w = fS = fb_0P_0 + fb_1D_{11}^{-1}(p_0 - D_{10}P_0)$$
 (13)

As D equals b'fb, then

$$D_{00} = b_0'fb_0 = \sum b_{0g}'f_gb_{0g}$$

$$D_{01} = b_0'fb_1 = \sum b_{0g}'f_gb_{1g}$$

$$D_{10} = b_1'fb_0 = \sum b_{1g}'f_gb_{0g}$$

$$D_{11} = b_1'fb_1 = \sum b_{1g}'f_gb_{1g}$$

By substitution into Eq. (8), the unknown forces are

$$R_1 = C_{00}r_0 + C_{01}C_{11}^{-1}(R_0 - C_{10}r_0)$$
 (11)

Noting that

$$a = \{a_1 a_2 \dots a_g \dots \}$$
 $v = \{v_1 v_2 \dots v_g \dots \}$ 
 $T = \{T_1 T_2 \dots T_g \dots \}$ 
 $k = \lceil k_1 k_2 \dots k_g \dots \rceil$ 

then, from Eq. (1), considering all of the elements,

$$v = ar = a_0 r_0 + a_1 C_{11}^{-1} (R_0 - C_{10} r_0)$$
 (12)

and, similarly,

$$T = kv = ka_0r_0 + ka_1C_{11}^{-1}(R_0 - C_{10}r_0)$$
 (13)

As C equals a'ka, then

$$C_{00} = a_0'ka_0 = \sum a_{0g}'k_ga_{0g}$$

$$C_{01} = a_0'ka_1 = \sum a_{0g}'k_ga_{1g}$$

$$C_{10} = a_1'ka_0 = \sum a_{1g}'k_ga_{0g}$$

$$C_{11} = a_1'ka_1 = \sum a_{1g}'k_ga_{1g}$$

It may be noticed that, for a structure under either applied forces or imposed displacements, Eqs. (10-13) will reduce to those that Argyris derived by a different approach.

## References

- Ostenfeld, A., Die Deformationsmethode (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1926).
   Argyris, J. H., "Energy theorems and structural analysis," Aircraft Eng. 26, 347 (1954).

# Hypersonic Sharp-Leading-Edge Problem for Axially Symmetric Bodies

JAY M. SOLOMON\* U. S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory, White Oak, Silver Spring, Md.

URING the past several years, the so-called hypersonic sharp-leading-edge problem has been the subject of several investigations. All of these have been concerned with the particular case of a flat plate at zero incidence. The present note applies some of the methods developed for the flat plate to other bodies, in particular to pointed bodies of revolution at zero incidence. The present analysis is based on the viscous-layer model used by Oguchi<sup>1</sup> in his first analysis of the sharp-leading-edge problem. Essentially, Oguchi<sup>1</sup> assumes that 1) all rarefaction effects very near the tip are negligible; 2) the undisturbed flow is separated from the disturbed flow by a thin, nearly straight shock wave, which is attached at the leading edge and which satisfies the oblique shock relations; and 3) the flow behind the leading-edge shock wave forms a continuum viscous layer, which is sufficiently thin so that the Navier-Stokes equations can be truncated to their boundary-layer form.

Because of these simplifications, Oguchi's results show some inconsistencies3, 4 (as do the results of Jain and Li2 and Bendor<sup>3</sup> which also employ Oguchi's model). However, these analyses yield surface pressures very near the leading edge which are considerably more realistic than those predicted using strong interaction theory. Herein lies the justification for applying Oguchi's ideas to pointed-nose bodies of revolution.

## Analysis

Longitudinal curvature effects throughout the layer are assumed negligible. Furthermore, the gas is assumed to be perfect and to have constant  $c_p$  and  $Pr.\dagger$  By the forementioned assumption 3, the applicable equations are the boundary-layer equations that include transverse curvature terms [e.g., Eqs. (1.2-1.5, 1.8, and 1.9) of Ref. 5]. These equations are obtained from the full Navier-Stokes and energy equations by neglecting terms of the order of  $\Delta/L$ , where  $\Delta$ is of the order of the viscous-layer thickness, and L is of the order of the viscous-layer length. By assumption 2, the dependent variables  $u, v, p, \rho$ , and H must attain the values  $u_s$ ,  $v_s$ ,  $p_s$ ,  $\rho_s$ , and  $H_s$ ,  $\ddagger$  respectively, at some unknown but finite shock-wave distance  $y_s(x)$ . Furthermore, the values  $u_s$ ,  $v_s$ , etc. are given by the oblique shock relations and hence depend on  $M_{\infty}$  and the local shock-wave angle  $\psi$ . Note that, neglecting longitudinal curvature,  $\psi$  is related to  $y_s$  by

$$dy_s/dx = \tan(\psi - \alpha) \tag{1}$$

For hypersonic conditions (i.e.,  $M_{\infty} \gg 1$ ), the oblique shock relations indicate that

$$v_s = u_{\infty}[(1 - \gamma)\sin\alpha + 2\sin(\psi - \alpha)\cos\psi]/(\gamma + 1)$$
 (2)

<sup>†</sup> The coordinate system and notation used herein will agree with that of Yasuhara<sup>5</sup> except where otherwise defined.

<sup>†</sup> The subscript s will herein denote quantities evaluated directly behind the shock wave.

Received January 4, 1965.

<sup>\*</sup> Research Aerospace Engineer.